

# special collections DOUGLAS



queen's university at kingston

kingston ontario canada



Hijl-Chunch Politicks ....



Luce - University Libraries



## Library



QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY AT KINGSTON

KINGSTON ONTARIO CANADA

## High-Church Politicks:

OR THE

Abuse of the 30th of Fannary

#### CONSIDER'D.

With Remarks on Mr. Luke Milbourne's Railing Sermons, and on the Observation of that Day.

Si Natura gegat facit Indignatio versum Qualemeunque potisti— Juv. Sat.

LONDON:

Printed, and are to be fold by Bragge, at the Black Ravon in Pater-nofter 1710.

i-Ca. 1710. Hs4 

## High-Church Politicks:

#### OR THE

### Abuse of the Thirtieth of January.

Think it is impossible for any considerate Man who is not govern'd by the narrow Principles of Biggotry and Faction, to read over the Harangues of divers of the Clergy on the 30th of January, without Refentment. To call them Sermons, would be an Affront to Religion; unless there was any convincing Evidence, that Christ had given a Commission to his Ecclesiastical Officers to project Schemes of Policy, to determine the Interests of Princes, and Rights of Government. This is perfectly foreign to the Design of the Bible, which is to recommend Vertue and Morality to the World, not Politicks. However, were it otherwise, yet certainly they can have no Right from hence to entertain their Audience with abusive Misreprefentations of those, who think it more consistent with the common Interest of Mankind, to divert from their Road of thinking. It is plain enough, should we hoodwinkt submit to their Interpretations of Scripture without Examination, they would lead us into the Belief of a thousand Absurdities; of which the Church of Rome has given us a fatal Precedent.

As these Gentlemen manage it, thro' a Transport of Passion, they run themselves into strange Contra-

dictions. On the 30th of January they damn the Principles of Differers, for cutting off the King's Head- And yet on the 20th of May, they bless God for the Principles which restored the Royal Family. If the Instruments which brought about this happy Restoration have any Right to their Acknowledgements, then the Presbyterians who had the principal Hand in it, as the Histories of

cipal Hand in it, as the Histories of those Times own, \* may come in for Vol III. p. 238, a Share of their Blessings. So here is Cursing and Blessing in a Breath.

Again, suppose the Clergy shou'd make a thankful Recognition on the 5th of November, for the glorious Revolution which brought about our Deliverance from Popery, and the arbitrary Power of King James; this confecrates the Notion of Refissance: and yet on the 30th of January, they thunder out their Anathema's against it; as if the same thing had two different Faces. All the Distinction which can be imagin'd, is, that the one is Church Resistance, and the other is Presbyterian: Indeed it will be faid, the latter (tho' falfly) cut off his Head; and the other only drove him out of England, and beat him out of Ircland into France, where he broke his Heart and died. The Causes of these several Proceedings were much the fame; King Charles would have fet up a Protestant Tyranny, and King James a Popish one: Both were Subverters of the Laws and Constitution; and the they made two different Exits; yet the Crime in opposing one is tantamount to the other. I shall leave these Gentlemen to reconcile their Inconfillencies.

It's very observable Mr. Luke Milbourne is very forward to distinguish himself in this Service; but he does it with so ill a Grace, that any one wou'd think he had full'n into one of his old Fits, and was now trying his Skill how well he could Lampoon Diffeners, and the Principles of the Revolution. This was an old Talent he much addicted himself to at Yarmouth: There's Matter enough for Resecti-

on, if one was in a Disposition for it. His Character is a common Story: I shall only say, if he had not been the Son of a Nonconforming-Minister, the Disserters might have expected a less insolent Treatment. The Renegado Christians at Algiers and Tunis use the poor Christian Slaves with a far greater Barbarity than the Natural Turks do. Mr. M. thinks, it may be, this is the best Method to attone for his Father's Errors; or else we must say, that it is the Property of one sunk into the Extremes of Degeneracy, to insult those whom they are under na-

tural Obligations to regard.

Methinks it would better become Mr. M's. Character, and be a greater Service to Religion, if instead of annual Invectives on the 30th of January, and the slaming Expressions of bigotted and unmerciful Zeal against the English Constitution, and Protest and Disserters, he should employ some of his brightest Minutes in the Consideration of a very accurate and laboured Socinian Pamphlet, wrote against him, and directed to him several Years ago in very provoking and insulting Terms, and to which I could never learn he had the Courage to reply. For certainly how just soever was the Cause, and how glorious soever the Character of the Royal Martyr, it is reasonable to suppose it is of somewhat less Consequence, than the Divinity of our Saviour, and the Cause of our common Christianity.

I confess it has faln out somewhat unfortunately, that what Mr. Dryden observes of his Poetry, holds true of his Politicks, that he could never yet thrust himself upon any one for an Adversary. Tho he has taken some Pains in this Controversie, and has rudely pointed at one and another; yet no Man could ever be perswaded to take any Notice of him,

or to examine what he has wrote.

I shall only observe by the Way; He has often intermeddl'd where he was not concern'd, tho' he could never find in his Heart to engage where he was sufficiently provok'd, and has a great Dexterity of

king himself Occasions to pass his Censures upon other Men; for who but Mr. M. could have found a Place to reslect upon Dr. Bates's Oratory in Remarks upon Dryden's Virgil; tho' I dare say, I speak the Sense of the discerning and impartial World; that Dr. Bates will be read and admired, when Mr. M's. best Performances will be forgot and less over of the Western Research. and lost out of the World, both Poetry and Politicks too. But Recrimination looks like Prejudice; fo I'll drop it. However, it is no Harm to let Mr. M. fee he can be talk'd to in his own Dialect, and that he has as much Reason as any Man, to treat those that differ from him with at least the Modesty of a Chriftian. But enough of this; only I shall beg Leave to drop a few Notes upon his Politicks, which I find in his Sermons on the 30th of January.

It is not worth while to draw up a formal Antwer, or to follow him in all his Extravagancies. His Tom of Bedlam Talk does not deserve it; and therefore I shall only satisfie my self in touching upon two or three of his general Mistakes, and in making some sew Remarks upon the mischievous Tendency of the Liberty the High-stying Clergy take on the 30th of January.

1. Mr. M. would infinuate that Monarchy is of Divine Right. One would think the Revolution had entirely cancel'd this Principle; yet many are loath to part with it. The Uni-\* Hist. of Eng. versity of Oxford was so far asham'd p. 421. Vol. III. of those warm Decrees which were made in Favour of this Opinion (in Complaifance to the Court); that at the Revolution, tho' they were not fo publickly retracted, as they had been apparently contradicted in Practice by those very Persons, who were the first Promoters of them; yet they took Care to pass this tacit Condemnation of them, by privately ordering the printed Copies of them to be taken from the Halls, and other publick Places, where hefore

before they had hung in Triumph. Which occasioned, as the Historian says, this Piece of Wit;

Cum Fronti sit nulla Fides, ut Carmina dicunt; Cur tibi Bifronti, Jane, sit ulla Fides.

It wou'd have been a Piece of Justice if Mr. Al. had been as modest. But it is sit he should have fair Play: And lest any think I abuse him by Misrepresentation, you shall have it in his own Words; In the Penny Sermon, Jan. 30th, 170%, p. 5. he says, If we enquire into the first Kind of Government in the World, we can find none but that of FATHERS and of KINGS: The whole Book of God gives us Instance of no other. The best Heathen Writers agree in the Antiquity of Kingly Government. So Cicero tells us that all the most ancient Nations were govern'd by Kings. And Salust. and Just. say the same. And as Monarchy was the nearest Resemblance of the Government of all things by God himself; so it was a peculiar Blessing which God promised to bestow upon his own Pcople Israel. And so in Pages 6, 7, 8. he tells us, Saul, David and Solomon were Kings by Divine Appointment, without the Consent and Approvation of the People. And then surther to prove all this, he brings in the Church to avow the Truth of this Dostrine, afferting the Divine Right of Kings, in her Homilies, Articles, Common-prayer and Canons, &c. Pag. 9.

An undue Medium oftentimes imposes upon the Eye-sight, and leads it into Error. It is dangerous to rely upon any Man's Authority; for it happens frequently, that either thro' Weakness they cannot, or thro' Prejudice and Addictedness to a Faction, they will not give a fair Interpretation of Scripture. They rather accommodate Scripture to their Opinions, than their Opinions to Scripture. And if they have but Wit enough to put a plausible Gloss upon it, and thereby make it subserve their Interest or Notion, they immediately pronounce it Canonical, and of Divine Authority. This Principle had need

to be supported by some more extraordinary Evidence than this poor Man's ipse dixu: For while it carrys an Aspect which is satal and destructive to the Liberties of Mankind, or at least leaves them in a very precarious Condition, it will look like a Strain upon the Credulity of Mankind to receive it. If it was recommended by any miraculous Testimony, or by a Force and Strength of Reasoning that would render it obvious to any Capacity, it were something. Why, says Mr. M. here is plain Scripture! Well, but suppose I shou'd say, it is his abusive Interpretation, which others have done before him, to serve an indirect Interest. How often has Scripture been made use of, to justify Principles which have contradicted the common Reason and Sense of Mankind. We'll try if Mr. M's. Position will not run us into Absurdities.

(1). This looks like an Impeachment of all other Forms and Species of Government, as unlawful. Can it be less than a Violation of a Divine Appointment, to constitute any Government without forming it exactly according to this Model. The Want of Divine Revelation will be allow'd to extendate the Fault. Tho' it is Observ'd in most Parts of the World, where Christianity is not known, that an absolute Monarchy is, and has generally been, the Establish'd Government. Methinks it is a little surprizing, that the barbarous Parts of the World shou'd hit upon juster Notions of Government, by the meer Conduct of the Light of Nature, than the Christian World should, that have Divine Revelation to inform them. By this Way of reasoning Our Neighbours the Dutch, (for whom Mr. M. if I am rightly inform'd, has Reasons of particular Respects) and all other Christian Commonwealths are in a damnable Condition, in fetting up a Conflicution which does in no respect comport with a Divine Institu-tion. A Jurisdiction of a Divine Right is not al-terable by the Will and Power of Man. So that according to this Account the French and Turks are the

the happiest People in the World, in hitting upon the right Measures of Government.

(2). This is subversive of the English Constitution. 'Tis granted it is a Monarchy; but so limited and restrain'd, that it can't exert it self beyond the Boundaries of the Laws. It is a Mixture of feveral Forms of Government; and tho' Monarchy is predominant in the Constitution, it is not the whole governing Power, which is made up of three Constituent Parts, QUEEN, Lords and Commons; and these united, fare unlimited by every thing but the End for which God gave it; to wit, the Good of the People. But if this Divine Right of Kingly Power can be made evident, it can't be deny'd but in Consequence, it must supersede all these Limitations, and render it absolutely unlawful to adulterate and infringe a Divine Institution. These Principles have been justified by the Parliament in the Censure of Dr. Sacheverell, and in their Approbation of the incomparable Performances of Mr. Benjamin Hoadly. This is a Proof Mr. M. with all his Courage, dare not meddle with.

But Mr. M. is extremely angry at these several Distinctions and Lodgments of the supreme Power. Penny Sermon, Jan. 30th, 1703 p. 18. It is worth taking Notice how he confutes this. (1.) By a bare Affertion of the contrary. (2.) By censuring it as the Notion that kindled the Flames of Rebellion against King Charles I. it is a Wonder he did not think of that against King James II. (3.) From a particular Act of the executive Power. (4.) From the Statute Laws of the Land. (5.) From the Titles of Supreme and Sovereign that are ascribed to the King. The Laws (says he) declare the Crown of England a Monarchy, Independent, Imperial, and from God only, p. 19. The high Court of Parliament is the King's great Council. Every Member of Parliament is his Subject, and the Prince his Sovereign, &c. What does all this tend to prove, but either that Management and her subjects and the proves of the that Management is his subjects. that Mr. M. has a Mind to equivocate, and banter the Constitution, or else, that he knows nothing of the Matter.

His first Proof is answer'd by denying his Position. 2. If the Notion was false in King Charles I's Time, it was also false when it was made use of to oppose King James II. As to the third, it is ridiculous. And as to the Laws declaring the Crown of England independent, it can mean no more than securing the King's Title against all foreign Claims of Jurisdiction, either by the Pope, or Usurpers at home.

(5.) As to the Titles of Supreme and Sovereign that are ascribed to him; I answer, Meer Titles are no sufficient Discovery of the Power in a Commonwealth, especially if we find in Fact that it is quite otherwise.

I shall express the first Proof of this in the
Words of a judicious Author; \*

\* A Letter to 56 The G

\* A Letter to The Crown is own'd to be the a Friend, p. 6, 7. " fupreme of these Authorities, and " in some Cases the Crown is the " whole Power of the Kingdom; as for Instance, the Power of making Peace and War is entirely in the Crown; fo is the executive Part of the Law. But then to restrain this Power, even ζ: where it is absolute, from exerting to anything 66 unreasonable or unjust; there is first as to the making an unreasonable War, no Money to be 23 rais'd for carrying on this War, or for any thing elle without a House of Commons. In the next "Place, to redress erroneous Judgments or Decrees, " upon which Executions would follow; those Judgthents or Decrees made by the QUEEN's Court may be revers'd by an Appeal to the House of Lords, which is the supreme Court of Judicature, " and from whence no Appeal lies to the Crown: " For where the Kings are not the whole entire " Power, the Case of Meum and Thum is often disputed betwixt the King and the Subject. Subjects of such Kings are not tamely to submit, and give

up their Rights, because the King will have those

"Rights: And if the last Appeal should lie to the King,

King, then wou'd the King be Judge in his own Cause. Therefore the wife Laws in this Kingdom, which can't be made or repealed, but by the 33 united Power of the three Constituent Parts of Government, which therefore are equally obligatory upon Prince and Subject, have provided, that the 66 " Judges, who act even by the Crown's Commission, shall give Judgment according to Law, in all Con-4 troversies between the Crown and the Subject: and this Way the Crown is controll'd, even by those who act by the Crown's Commission; and I hope not at the Peril of their Salvation: And if the " Judges shall make an erroneous Judgment, the ag-"grieved may be redress'd by an Appeal to the Lords; from whom is no Appeal.

- 2. Since Mr. M. is so fond of pressing Names and Titles for Arguments, let's try him at his own Weapon, and see what force it will have. It is most usual to say, the Parliament makes Laws, and we find it to be no such great Impropriety. They not only propose, but enact. We need not run any surther for Enquiry, but to the Acts of Parliament. This very Title bespeaks Authority. And therefore our Acts of Parliament are said to be enacted by the Authority of Parliament, or by the King's Majesty, and the Lords and Commons in Parliament assembled. But it may be, Mr. M. will find out a Way of making Petitioning and Authority all one.
- 3. It may be, the Authority of King Charles I. may have some Weight in it. In his Answer to the 19 Prepositions, He declares, there are "three Kinds of Government amongst Men; Absolute Monarchy, Aristotracy, and Democracy, and all these have their particular Conveniences and Inconveniences. The Extension of our Ancestors had so moulded this out of a Mixture of these, as to give this Kingdom (as far as humane Prudence can provide) the Conveniency of all three, without the Inconvenience

Answer affirms, "the Laws are jointly made by a King, by a House of Peers, and by a House of Commons, chosen by the People, all having free Votes and particular Priviledges; the Government of these Laws are entrusted to the King. And further says, that the Law is the Measure of his Power. There is much more to the same purpose, but tedious to recite.

What reason Mr. M. has to be so angry, let any one judge. These Considerations present us with a different Species of Monarchy than what Mr. M. would obtrude upon us. His shuffling and quibbling and arguing from the Surface and Ornaments of the Constitution will never prevail to recommend his Scheme, as an Article of our Faith, while we have such Authorities as are beforementioned, to over-rule him. Could the People of England apprehend their just Rights more effectually secured by this Principle, there would be the less Debate. And therefore, 'till we do, we must beg his Pardon, and suspend our Assent, and pay the utmost Deference to the Wisdom of our Ancestors, who have left us the most happy, and best form'd Constitution of Government in the World.

However, let us pay the utmost Respect to Mr. M's. Principle, and examine a little further into the Consequences of it. If the whole Sovereign Power be plac'd in the Hands of the Prince, as Mr. M. would fain make us believe it is; then all the Security we can find for our Natural Right, depends on the arbitrary and uncertain Pleasure of the Prince. By this means we shall be led into a Difference about the very Ends of Civil Government, which is so plain and obvious, that one would think it impossible. But when Men run into absurd Notions, they have nothing but absurd Reasons to maintain them. Is it the prime End of Government to exalt the Grandure and Dignity of one Man above the rest of his sellow Creatures, or the good and Welfare of the People? The very same Reasons which

tell us the End of it; which no body can believe to be any other than the common Safety. If so, then we can't but judge that Constitution best, which has the greatest Tendency to secure these Ends. But this Notion of a Divine Right, spoils all our Reasoning, for we must pay that Deserence, as to set aside all Stipulations, and Contracts with our Princes for this purpose; and submit our selves absolutely to their despotick Humour. Thus we can't avoid coming into the strange Conclusions of those Gentle-

men; who affert that an English Parliament have no more Power, than, to give Trads.

Colled. of State Trads. p. 321. Vol.

an inauthoritative Advice, which the Prince may follow, or not as he pleases; and that the Coronation-Oath, whatever it may be with respect to God, yet with respect to the People, it is only a cuftomary Ceremony, or an infignificant Formality: And that all the Limitations of Government are but the King's temporary and arbitrary Concessions which he may retract without doing any Injustice to the People: Nay, and the Parliament are also under Obligation to cancel all their Pretences to Authority, and must submit their Commission at the Peril of their Salvation (whatever effential Branches they are thought to be of the Constitution) to the absolute Will of the Prince, which must be judg'd according to this Hypothesis, the sole Spring of Government. These are hard Sayings, who can bear them? They are indeed frightful Speculations, and no Wonder that humane Nature, which has an indelible Concern impress'd upon it, for its Preservation, starts at the very Appearance of them.

Yet if it had been only a clashing of Arguments, and differing Sentiments, it would have been tolerable; but the worst of it was, they ventur'd on Experiments to settle the Government upon this Basis; and what tragical Essects it has had, 'tis dismal to consider. To give a Detail of the Particulars, would be to open a most melancholy Scene; and yet just to say so, would

be talking without Evidence. This

\*Wilfon's Hift.

of King James I.

191.202.

Notion \* was conjured up in King

James I. Reign, to feed the extravagant Humour of Prerogative, which

was got to such a Height, that Sir Ed. Coke call'd it

20 Overgrown Monster. It is very probable it was a

an Overgrown Monster. It is very probable it was a Popilly Apparition; yet it was a Pretence that all the Dependants upon the Crown, both in Church and State, were fond of. It would not have past current without the Bleffing of the Ecclefiasticks, who were continually preaching and distilling into the King, the Almightinefs of his Power: And that all that the People had, was the King's, and that it was by his Mercy they had a bare empty Being. It was the Irch of Dominion, (as some think) was the Downfall of the Apostate Angels: And Adam's Affectation of Divine Prerogative, was his, and the Ruin of his Posterity. And therefore well. might a Prince that is moulded of the same common Earth with our felves, and with all the Infirmities of humane Nature, be elated with these kind of Flatteries, and thereby tempted to forget the just Bounds of his Authority. This new Investiture of Power had a fatal Influence, it leading the King to infult, and despise Parliaments, as the great Infringers of his Prejogative Royal, which oblig'd the Parliament to make a Protestation, Dec. 19. 1621. afferting that the Liberties, Franchifes, Priviledges and Jurisdictions of Parliament are the ancient and the undoubted Birthright \* Ibid. p. 188. and Inheritance of the Subjects of England, &c. \*

In the next Reign things were carried much higher. Tho' Mr. M. would persuade the World in his Sermon Jan. 30th. 170%. p. 1. That this Prince's Government was gentle, under which the Subjects enjoy'd their Religion in its Apostolical Purity, their Laws with just Liberty, and their Estates and Fortunes with an inviolable Security. Mr. M. should have blotted out Rushworth's Collections, or have consuted them, and all the Histories of those Times before he had teld this Story. It makes

me think what the Poet says in his Description of Fame, will ferve to fill up a Part of Mr. M's Character.

Tam sieti pravique tenax, &c. - Virg. Æneid. Lib. IV. - atque infecta canebat.

What must a Man fay, when he talks the Reverse of all the Histories which were writ of these Times. the Accession of this Prince to the Throne, there were early Attempts made to grasp at an absolute Power, and to subvert the ancient Constitution, by invading the great Fundamental of all Liberty and Property, the Right of the People of England, in imposing Money upon themselves. This divine Right of Monarchy set aside the fundamental Laws of the Kingdom

\* Hift. of \* to levy Ship-Money, Subfidies of Tun-Eng. Vol. nage and Poundage, which were determined by his Father's Death. Rais'd an Army and required the Country to find Coat and

III. p. 7, 10, 13, 18. 26, 28, 49, 95.

Conduct Money, and a Multitude of illegal

Taxes, Fines, Monopolies, &c. without Consent of Parliament. Every Project was embraced that had but an Appearance of supplying the Crown, that they might avoid the necessary Settlement of successive Parliaments. liaments were brow-beaten, and their Authority questioned and slighted; and the Methods of their Proceedings controul'd, contrary to their Fundamental Rights and Priviledges, toss'd up and down by sudden Adjournments, Prorogations and Dissolutions. The Houses. Studies and Pockets of divers of their Members fearch'd, their Persons, against express Law impusoned, and the free Debates in Parliament made subject to the restraining Power and Censure of inferiour Courts and Judges. Ecclefiasticks of arbitrary Principles promoted and preferr'd. And to support all these desperate

Deligns, they form'd a Pretence to get an \* Army; and had therefore remitted 300col. to Balemark a Dutch Merchant, to raise 1000 German Horse beyond Sea,

which were to be brought hither, that they might fet-

Vol. I. p. 478.

tle Martial Law, and thereby be enabled to raise what Money the Council thought sit; and to make Proclamations and Orders of State, to be as binding to the Subject, as Acts of Parliament. I protest it is with a Concern that I mention these Mismanagements; and I can't forbear pitying the unhappy Circumstances of that unfortunate Prince, who was push'd on by the intoxicating Notions of High Church, to pursue such oppressive Measures as ended in his own Ruin, and the mi-

serable Distraction of the Kingdom.

Tho' these are horrid Evidences of the dangerous and destructive Tendency of these Notions; yet upon the Restoration this was the sashionable Principle, and the main Spring of Government. The Remembrance of former Disappointments oblig'd them to strike into disserent Measures, and to apply themselves to fraudulent Court Artisices, which is a remarkable Turn of Providence had not interpos'd, had effectually succeeded to oppress the Liberty of the Nation before we had come to the Succession of K. James: Nay, and then the full Cry at first was for Jure-Divino and arbitrary Power, 'till the Clouds of Popery began to gather, and threaten the Church and State with an entire Inundation: Which Apprehension reduc'd the Thoughts of those Men who who were principally concern'd, to the legal Limitation of Kingly Power, as fully appear'd by the Bishops Remonstrance to K. James, just before, or about the Time of the Prince of Orange's Descent.

This darling Hypothesis which Mr. M. and others of his Brethreu are so fond of, and advance with an Air of Infallibility; upon the Revolution and succeeding Settlement, sell into Disgrace. The Prospect of universal Ruin either discovered the fraudulent Foundation of this Principle, or it enlightened their Understandings as it did the Prodigal, and so brought the generality who had been zealous for it, to themselves. It was alway the stated Opinion of all good Men, that a keeping close to the Constitution is our greatest Security; and Necessity has made it prevailing. Our present Settlement gives an universal Satisfaction, insomuch that it is impossible

impossible to put any other Interpretation on the Fit of Zeal, in reviving those exploded Opinions, than an ingrateful Reslection upon the miraculous Hand of Heaven which saved us. It can be compared to nothing so much as the hankering of the Israclites after the Garlick and Onions of Egypt. But I hope they'll excuse us, if we are more satisfied with heavenly Manna than the Bondage of Egypt.

But to pass on, Mr. M. will hardly take it civilly if I should pass by his Objections without Notice. Scripture Authority is weighty. It hath but one Sense, tho' such is the Perversity of humane Nature, the Curiosity of some, and the selsish Humour of others, that they do make it capable of various Interpretations: Divine Authority is a very popular Argument, without which Error would never tincture vulgar Apprehensions, and this obliges the looser Sort of Priests who grasp at nothing but Interest, to bring God himself to avouch all their Absurdities. These are the Devil's Commentators, and how well he could pervert Scripture, was manifest by the use he made of it in his tempting the Saviour of the World.

Mr. M's. main Basis is Rom. 13.1. There is no Power

but of God, the Powers that be, are ordain'd of God.

It must be granted that Civil Government is of divine Institution, tho' the Form or Constitution is left to the Determinations of humane Prudence, and notwithstanding all that Mr. M. says we cannot find that the Scripture has recommended the determinate Form or Species of Government, which we should above all others submit to. The very Government of the Jews had different Forms, and subject to the same Variations that have happed in other Nations. It's easy enough to perceive by any one that reads the Bible without Prejudice, that theirs was not Monarchical, 'till the Election of Saul. And it is very surprizing to consider that a People who were so remarkably the Care of Heaven, and distinguish'd from the rest of the World by so many extraordinary Priviledges, should be excluded so long as they were from Monarchy, had

it been so peculiar a Blessing, or originally of Divine Institution.

Obj. But Mr. M. fays he can find no other Government but that of Fathers and Kings. Which implies that upon the ceasing of Patriarchal Government, Kingly Government must succeed. Which hapned on God's creating Moses King in Jeshurun, as I suppose he means. Who can help it if Mr. M. has read his Bible no better? As will appear from these several Considerations.

I. It's very rational to suppose there was a civil Government, established in the very Line wherein the Church was preserved, and from whence the Messiah was to spring,; but whether it was of divine Ordination, or by whom, or in what Form it was exercised is not certain. There are more probable Reasons to suppose it arose from Consent than otherwise. And tho' it be allowed that Noah, Abraham, Isaac and Jacob were Kings, and exercised a political Authority over their Families, yet it is plain enough there was not a Succession of this Power to the Eldest of the Family: For it is apparent that Jacob and Esau were independent of each other, and had their distinct Government. Nay, farther in Jacob's own Family; we find Judah exercising a Royal Power, in the

Genef. 38. Sentence he pass'd upon Tamar; tho' his Father was alive, and he the Fourth Son of the Family, and as yet he had no

Right to the *Primo-geniture*. Now whether this was an Act proceeding from any inherent Power he had in himself, as he was Head of his own Family, or by Deputation from his Father Jacob; let the Patriarchal Gentlemen determine. By this it looks as if every Father was Monarch over his own Family. So that here was but a little Face of Monarchy in the same Line, where there was so many Equals.

2. Whatever Form of Government this of Patriarchal was; yet it ceas'd upon the Establishment of King Azoses, as Mr. As. calls him, from Deut. 33.5. Tho' ma-

ny Commentators think God is meant by that Apellation \*. 'Tis true Moses was a wonderful and divine Man, as the Agyptians call'd him, and purposely rais'd

\* Munft. Clarius. Mafius in

up by God to be the Founder of the

Jewish Commonwealth. This Constitution was immediately form'd by God himself, of which Moses was the Promulger: Therefore it is Pity it should be diflinguished by any common Name, being very properly call'd by Josephus against Appion, a Theocracy. If it should beisaid that Moses was invested with the whole executive Power; yet this lasted no longer than the Institution of the Sanhedrim; which confiderable Alteration was made pursuant to God's own Command, upon which Account the Government may be call'd a Mixture of Monarchy and Aristocracy: So that at least according to these Gentlemen's Notions, the Establishment of a House of Lords, is according to a divine Ordination, which no Kingly Power can supersede.

3. If this Government of the Jews was purely Monarchical, what shall we make of the Interregnums, or Distances between Judge and Judge; which we may observe sometimes to have been very long. As from the Death of Joshua to the Election of Othniel, seems to have been a considerable Term of Years; insomuch that without a supreme Head the Community could not have subsisted. It must be supposed either the Government was Aristocratical or Democratical, or neither; which last is an idle Supposition. So that it is very probable either the Sanhedrim alone, manag'd all the Concerns of Government, or in Conjunction with the High Priest, as they had done before in Concurrence with Moses and Joshua. Indeed there are Appearances of a Democracy as a learned Author takes Notice. When the Tribes of Reuben, Gad and half that of Manasseh, had built an Altar on the

Sydney of Gov. Pag. 99. Josh. 22. 13,

14.

other Side of Fordan; the whole Congregation of the Children of Israel gathered together at Shiloh to go up

to War, and fent Phineas the Son of Eleazer, and with

him ten Princes, &c.

This was the highest and most important Action that could concern a People, even War or Peace, and that not with Strangers but with their own Brethren, Joshua was then alive, the Elders never fail'd, but this was not transacted by him or them, but by the collected Body of the People: For they sent Phineas. This Democratical Embassy was Democratically received: It was not directed to any one Man but to all the Children of Reuben, Gad and Manasseh, and the Answer was sent by all, which being pleasing to Thiness and the Ten that were with him, they made

their Report to the Congregation, and all was quiet. 4. The Election of a Judge did not at all supersede their stated or settled Constitution, (which some of the best Jewish Writers, as well as Christian, think to be an Arthocracy) no more than a Dictator at Rome, or the Doge of Venice, or Statdholder in Holland, could destroy the Form of their Comonwealths. He was such a Person as either the Constitution allow'd of, or whom God directed them to, upon extraordinary and emergent Occasions. But whatever their Dignity or Power was for the Time being, yet they differ'd from a King; which there is fuch apparent Reason for, as any but a Slave to an Opinion would think undeniable, Why should Gideon refuse to be a King, if he was a King already. And is it not abfurd to fay Samuel was their King, at the same Time they insisted upon having a King to be like other Nations; or that it should be charged

\* Lock of Gov. Pag. 248.
Cunaus de Rep. Mab. p. 68.
Goodwin's Antiq.
Pag. 2.

on them as a Sin for desiring a King, if Samuel was their King already. \*Some think that the chief Business of the Judges was, to be only their Leaders or Captains to conduct their Armies, which does not appear improbable, from divers Circumstances. They were meerly

vers Circumstances. They were meerly occasional, somewhat like the Roman Dictators, and such as were inspired by God with Wisdom and Resolution snited to the Urgency of the Case. These few Hints

are sufficient to demonstrate that the Government of the Jews was quite different from what it was upon Saul's Accession to the Throne of Israel.

hope the Reader will forgive this Digression; which is not altogether impertinent for the Illustration of Mr. M's. Text. These Gentlemen embarrass the Apostle's Meaning by confining it to what, it is not likely, he ever thought of; to wit, the Establishment of an abfolute Despotick Government, exclusive of all others. It is evident that the several Forms of Government have past a Divine Approbation: else the Scriptures must be made to speak so inconsistently, as will be past the Skill of these Gentlemen to reconcile the feveral Parts of it. And Mr. M. who complains fo much of Atheism, one would think, shou'd take Care, not to put any Advantage into the Hands of those, who are forward enough to catch at every Pretence to weaken the Credit of reveal'd Religion. But to get over this Text, I shall offer two or three Considerations.

It would argue a strange Extravagancy in our Thoughts to imagine that ever Christ intended to comprehend the Principles of Policy in the Revelation of the Christian Religion; whereby we might exactly calculate the Measures of Civil Authority, and determine the Right of Princes, Evangelium non mutat Politeias. If the Life of Christ was a Comment upon his Doctrine, the contrary is very evident, by his refuling the Decision of the Civil Rights of two private Persons about the Division of a single Inheritance. His Business was of a quite different Nature, and more agreeable to the Dignity of the Son of God: It was distinct from Civil Interest; tho' indeed it was to erect a Kingdom, but not of this World; the Constitution of which was altogether Spiritual, and design'd for a more excellent Purpose than the Security of the common Concerns of Life. This wou'd have feem'd foreign to his purpose, to have made any Alteration in the Rights of Princes: therefore he only gives a general Direction for a Submission to the Municipial

Laws of the Kingdom; Render unto Cafar the things that are Cafar's. Leaving Princes without any further Prescription, in the quiet Possession of all those Rights they were entitled to, by the Constitutions of the Country, provided they did not infringe upon God's

Prerogatives. It is a strain upon the Apostle's Words to imagine he carry'd this Matter any higher. And this may easily be apprehended by considering the Occasion of his pressing this Document upon them. There had run a Scruple among the Jewish Chri-stians, which also had infected the Gentile Converts about their Subjection to the Jurisdiction of Heathen Magistrates; tho' it seems to be grounded upon different Regsons. The Jews judg'd it to be a tyrannical Usurpation upon them, as being directly contrary to the fundamental Laws and Constitution of their own Country, which were immediately established by God himself. This was an old rooted Opinion amongst the Jews, as may be evident from the enfoaring Question propos'd to our Saviour, about paying Tribute to Cafar: The Jewish Christians thought the Doctrine of the Gospel, which was a Doctrine of Liberty, justified this Notion; upon which the Gentile Christians also were led to enterrain the same Sentiments. And farther being pro--hibited by the Apostle to go to Law about personal Injuries before Heathen Judges, they were tempted to think, that it would be a Disparagement to the Christian Religion, to acknowledge their Authority at all.

The Apostle, to prevent the spreading of this Error, which might have been extremely prejudicial to the Propagation of Christianity; and justly might have given a fair Pretence to the Heathens, to have bent all their Force for the Extirpation of it; gives undeniable Reasons in the Words of the Text, to oblige their Submission to, and Acknowledgement of the Lawfulness of the Heathen Power and Jurisdiction. And this he does by asserting that all Kinds

of Government deriv'd their Authority from God, as well as that of the Jews; tho' they had not the whole Frame of their Government immediately from him, as the Jews had. The general Corruption of humane Nature, and the Exorbitancy of Mens Passions had made Government necessary; and therefore, as God had by the Law of Nature subjected the Woman to the Man, and Children to Parents; fo he had appointed in the general Magistracy and Subjection for the common Security, without either specifying the Form, or determining whether by one or more the fupreme Jurisdiction should be exercis'd. The Sense of the Apostle may be easily understood from the Opinion he obviates. It was nothing relating to the Constitution or Form of Government; whether lawful or unlawful; nor whether the Senate or Emperour had a Right to the Exercise of the supreme Fower; whether both in Conjunction; but whether the Heathens had any Right to challenge their Subjection, let the Form of their Government be what it wou'd; and whether they were under any Obligation to acknowledge their Authority. The Apostle proves the Affirmative from God's Institution of the Office of a supreme Magistracy; and further shews that the supreme Power amongst the Romans deriv'd its Authority from the Law of Nature, which is also God's Law, but as the Law of Nature has in no Respects determin'd the Form, or the Person or Persons exercising this Power; so the Apostle does not concern himself with that; but only gives a general Description of Magistracy, as is evident from the excellent Ends and Properties, which he enumerates, which may justly be appropriated to that Authority which God had instituted: But how this can be applicable to the Government of Nero, I can't imagine. But whether Nero or Claudius had rightful Titles to the Exercise of the supreme Power, or whether it ought to have been lodged in the Hands of the Senate, it was not the Chriftian Doctrine, but the Laws and Constitutions of the Empire, must determine. Therefore in this the Apoftle ftle is wholly silent, it being altogether foreign to the Business of the Gospel. But if the Apostle is supposed hence to affert the Divine Right of an absolute Monarchy, and that Nero, that Monster of Mankind derived this Power from God, it will follow, that not only all other Forms of Government are unlawful, as not deriving their Power from God: but it would tend to justifie all Usurpations of the supreme Power which Nero and Claudius were notoriously guilty of, as may easily be demonstrated from the Historians of those Times. Besides, it would contradict the Ends of Government expressy mentioned by the Apostle, and made the Reason of their Subjection and Non-resistance.

It will afford a little Diversion to observe how Mr. M. proves his Notion, and in this he is just like a Man that tells half a Story, and leaves one to guess the rest. He says, when the People grew weary of their old Government, they desired a King, and after they had preferr'd this Request to Samuel, he says, Samuel, consults no surther with them, but he lays their Request before the Lord; who commands Samuel to comply with their Desires, and he himself would send the Person to him whom he shou'd annoint, to be Captain over his People; so he sends Saul, whom Samuel anoints without consulting with the People; so the Choice of him was not referr'd to the People on the Day appointed for that purpose, but wholly determined by God. And he says, God did not leave the Poople more at Liberty in the Case of David. Seem. Jan 30 170%, p. 5, 6.

of David. Serm. Jan 30 1705. p. 5, 6.

This Man will never commence Doctor for his judicious Comments upon Scripture. The foftest Interpretation that can be put upon it, is, that it is only a little popular Cant to serve a Party; and no Man so sit for it, as he that will take such Liberties as any honest Man will abhor. With what Face can be say that God imposed a King upon them, or established a Kingly Government without their Consent, when,

1. It is evident they importuned Samuel for a King, not because God had appointed it, but because they would

would be like other Nations round about them, i Sam. 8.4, 5. Then all the Elders of Israel gathered themselves together, and vame to Samuel to Ramah, and said unto him, behold thou are old, and thy Sons walk not in thy Ways: Now make us a King to judge us, like all the Nations. And the next Verse says, that it was displeasing to Samuel, when they said, Give us a King to judge us; which hardly would have been, had it been of divine Institution.

2. God directs Samuel to disting them from such a Request, which he does in the same Chapter, v. 9. by giving them such a Description of the arbitrary Rule of Kings, as should discourage them from entertaining a Thought of such a Government; which one would think is but an indifferent Complement to the divine

Right of Kingly Power.

3. God himself resents this Desire as the greatest Affront that could be offer'd to him; who not only had establish'd the present Form of Government they were under, but immediately presided over them, and rais'd up for them extraordinary Persons to serve them in their greatest Difficulties and Emergencys, and therefore in the same Chapter v. 7. he says to Samuel, they have not rejected thee, but they have rejected me, that

I (hould not reign over them.

4. Tho' God had fix'd on a Person to be their King, and wonderfully endued him for the Exercise of Government; yet it is evident their Consent was necessary to establish him; therefore upon his sirst Election we find in Sam. 10. 24. Some accepted him, and others despised him saying, how can this Man save us? But when he had signalized himself by the Deseat of Nahash, and the People impress'd with a warm Sense of their Deliverance, Samuel very wisely takes the Opportunity of their good Humour, and says, Come, let us go up to Gilgal, and there renew the Kingdom; and the People went up to Gilgal, and there made Saul King before the Lord, I Sam. 11. 15. Which plainly infers that Samuel thought the People's Approbation necessary for the Consirmation of

the Kingdom to Saul; for after that they all own'd and obey'd him, which they refus'd to do before.

5. In 1 Sam. 12. 18, 19. Samuel was at the Expence of a Miracle, to convince them of their Sin in desiring a King, and the Alteration of their former. Government; which seems as if God was so far from instituting this Kind of Government, that it can hardly be faid that he approv'd of it. And

\* Sydney of Gov. P. 96.

\* Philo one of the Jewish Writers imputes the Institution of Goverment as it was in Israel, neither

to God, nor his Word; but to the Fury of a finful People, which is more probable by the Circumstances then otherwise.

There might be the same Remarks in David's Case,

which I shall at present omit.

Now to put all the Circumstances of this important Transaction together, the most that can be inferred, is, that absolute Monarchy is a lawful Government, which yet amongst the Jews was scarce absolute: But that it appears to be the most desirable, or recommended and appointed by God, I profess I can't see it: Nor I believe ever shall, 'till I am Master of this Dostor's Eyesight, which probably he thinks is endued with an extraordinary Penetration. So that he must find some better Reasons to support his Hypothesis, to make me his Proselyte, and 'till then, I shall take leave of the Authority he produces from Scripture.

As for what Mr. M. says from the Antiquity of Kingly Power, 'tis hardly worth taking Notice of: I suppose he can't infer from thence a divine Institution, or wou'd have the Practice of the ignorant Pagans to be the Rule of our Conduct. It's strange they should fall so naturally in with a divine Institution.

on, which those, who were entrusted # Polyd. Ving. De with the Oracles of Heaven were Invent. Rev. P. 78. absolutely Strangers to, 'vill the

Alteration of their Government, which happed upon Saul's Election: And even then it was introduc'd with no extraordinary Encomium.

I shall not enter into an Examination of the Original of Kingly Power, being no Way pertinent to the pre-fent Purpose. We can find this, while Kingly Power was exerted for the com-Just. Lib. I. mon Good, the People were led into an easie and quiet Submission to it: But Sir Walter Rawleigh's Hift.
of the World.
Pag. 152. when they began to feel the Weight and Encroachments of arbitrary Power, it oblig'd them, to restrain the Tyrannical Exercise of it by established Laws, whereby they secured the common Right and Sasety, from the Reach and Infults of the exorbitant Dominion of their Princes, which was called a Regal Power. Ibid. p. 153. The other without these Restraints was called Tyranny. The first established by God in Favour

of the People, and the other permitted by God for their Affliction and Judgment, as that judicious Historian expresses it. These several Considerations will instruct ns in the Absurdity of their illusive Principle, and how dangerous a Position it is to the Natural Rights of Mankind. It is a meer Imposition of a designing Clergy, which might have past current by the Subtilty of their reasoning in a Nation less intelligent, or an Age less refin'd than ours. But while Literature abounds amongst the Laity, and any Sparks of Integrity remain amongst any Number of the Churchmen; I do not question but these enslaving Frauds will always meet with the clearest Detection, tho' the greatest Part of them, should conspire against our common Liberty, and happy Constitution of Government.

2. The next Mistake Mr. M. prosecutes, is a Denial of Resistance to the Supreme Power in any Respect, and brings in the Apostle Paul to vouch his Errour, in both his Sermons Jan. 1703 and 17033. In the former, p. 1. he says, he can't think the Time ill spent, to vindicate the Apostle from the scandalous Intimation that he taught Men to resist those very Powers, whom he had commanded them to obey by his own Example. The Apostle has a rare Second. In p. 15, he says, the Apostle never encouraged

raged any Preacher of the Gospel by his Example, to preach up the Lawfulness of opposing lawful Magistrates upon the Account of their Male-administration.

His Sermon Jan. 30. 170-2. is writ purposely with this View; and I find it somewhat more laboured, and referved than the former, and writ with Caution as well as Assurance; and yet if you compare it with the Clearness and Sobriety, the Weight of Evidence, and Variety of Reason, with which Mr. Headly writes; so justly admired by the impartial and judicious, and approved by the Wisdom of the Nation; methinks it is tedious loose Harangue, often incoherent and unconcluding; where whatsoever is material, is only generally touch'd, and occasionally drop'd; no one Point fairly represented, or closely pursued; the same things repeated by himself, the principal things suggested by his Adversary omitted and overlook'd, not without some Manager and part of National Manager. without some Meanesses unworthy Notice.

I thall drop a Remark or two on some principal Pasfages of this Sermon, and then argue the Matter a litthe with him. His opposite Paraphrase is manifestly strange and encouth, and so far from being natural, as not to be probable: I may safely trust the judicious Reader, who will be easily able not only to discern, but to tast and feel the Difference between them.

Mr. M. often mentions the Roman Emperors who perfecuted the Christians, and committed the great-est Outrage, as the Persons referr'd to by the Apothe, to whom he requires this Subjection, and forbids Reliffance upon Pain of Damnation. Whereas it don't appear the Koman Emperor contradicted the end of his Office, when the Apostle wrote this Epi-sile; not does he so much as attempt to make it appear: Besides, he ought to consider, that Governchaiftimity in the World; that the Christians were a small Pert of the Roman Empire at that Time; and the Preaching of the Gospel was a formal Opposition to many Laws then in Force; so that the Roman Emperor might persecute the Christians (tho that is always a great Evil) in a Confistency with the great End of his Office; that is, of preserving the Civil Liberties, and Rights of the Empire, or main Body of the People; for personal Cases do not affect the Community; a particular Person may be injur'd and oppress'd, when the Community may be safe and flourishing; tho' no Power on Earth has Right to do any personal Wrong. But now if the greatest Part of the Roman Empire had been Christian; and especially if under such a Constitution as ours expresly limited and restrain'd by Law; the invading their Natural and Civil Rights, of which the Worship of God according to Mens Consciences, will alway be reckoned a principal one; would not only warrant, but oblige to make Opposition and to throw off the Yoke: They would owe this Regard to themfelves and their Posterity, nor would it be resisting any Ordinance of God, for God gives no fuch Power to any, and there is no Power but what is of God.

And tho' Mr. M. fancies the Apostle must needs have his Eye upon the present reigning Emperor, and asks why else did the Apostle give such Directions to Roman Christians? It is plain the Apostle prescribes standing Rules of Civil Obedience to all Nations, and every Age of the Christian Church: Tho' there was a particular Occasion at this Time, of writing to the Romans, and had no more Respect to Roman Emperors than British Monarchs; to Nero than to Charles I. nor to either of them, otherwise

than as describ'd by such certain Characters.

Indeed Mr. M. pretends the Apostle speaks of Governors generally, and without Distinction: That the Precepts of Obedience and Non-resistance are never restrained in other Places, as those of private Revenge, &c. Whereas the Apostles Paul and Peter most expressly distinguish between good Governors and bad; if the most distinguishing Characters in the World can do it; He is not a Terror to good Works but to evil; who are sent for the Punishment of evil Doers. Nor was it any Way needful, as it would not have been proper to

express the Restrictions of Obedience, which were to plainly imply'd in the Character of the Gover-

nors that were most express.

He reckons Magistracy an unalienable Ordinance of God, which neither Unfaithfulness, nor Insufficiency can disannul: The Persecution of Christians as no Harm to them: and Tyranny often a just Punishment of the People's Sins, p. 19. But fure Tyranny and Oppression is no Ordinance of God, but directly contrary to his Nature and Will; and such an indelible Character upon any Office appointed to a particular End (especially where limited by express Contract) when that End cannot be attain'd, or is directly oppos'd, is as wild and unintelligible as occult Qualities, or Substantial Forms. And if it is no Harm to suffer Persecution in the Apostle's Sense; yet it may be a great Wrong; it is invading their just Rights; tho? it may be an Occasion of good to them, and no Man can have Right to do Wrong, or may do evil

that good may come of it.

And that it is a just Punishment, and most deserved; does not alter the Case; for they may not have deserved it at the Hands of Men, they they have at the Hands of God; and their being Instruments of Providence does not constitute a Right; his over ruling the Malice and Wickedness of Men for his own Glory, and feveral Ends of Good, is no more a Warrant than Approbation. And so the fews, with wicked Hands crucified and slew our Saviour, tho' it was by the determinate Council and fore-knowledge of God. And Schacherib might lawfully have been refisted, tho' he was the Rod of God's Anger against a Hypocritical Nation, and People of his Wrath. Besides, at this rate, we must never resist any private Injury, for we have deserved every evil, and forfeited all our Good: No Doubt Abel deserv'd to die; and God might justly have taken away his Life; but that did not warrant Cain's putting him to Death, or make refisting him unlawful. The Royal Alariyr, with all his Virtues deserved Death for his own Sins, but I hope that did not excuse his Murderers, or make their Crime the less flagitions.

I observe Mr. M. in every Sermon delights in bringing in long Catalogues of Names, which he reckons, should render the Doctrine odious; tho some of them Names of great Distinction and Merit, and much superior to Mr. M. in Knowledge, Learning and Religion. But I wou'd desire him to view the other Side of the Case, and observe how easily such fond Methods are capable of being retorted with Advantage. The Turks and Pagans generally in China, Persia, &c. the French and Muscovite, the most persecuting and degenerate Part of the Christian World; All the violent Men of the former Reigns in England, the Lands, the Mountagues, the Sibtherps, the Manmarings, &c. The Jacobites and Non-jurous universally, and all the Enemies of the pretent Establishment, the Hicks, the Lesseys, not to say the Sacheverells, the Milbournes embrace this Scheme of absolute Obedience and Non-resistance. But to proceed,

Divine Right and passive Obedience, are the two great Articles of the High-flying Creed, and truly we have had such a satal Experience of the dismal Consequences of both, that we want a Miracle to make them credible. The very Suggestion of them is a traducing of the Constitution, a Resection on the Wisdom of the Nation, and a most censorious Invective against the Revolution. But what will not a degenerate Churchman venture at, to introduce Church Tyranny and enflave the Consciences of Men: for his Way of reafoning upon this Head is perfect trifling and Delusion. It's plain enough he neither understands the Apostle's Command nor his Behaviour. As to the Apostle's Command the Design of it only was to oblige Christians to subject themselves to the Jurisdiction of the Heathen Mugistrates, but as to the Extent and Measure of their Submission the Apostle is wholly filest, (except the general Measure and Ends of all Covernment which he expresly mentions, namely the common Good) leaving that to be determined, and a fasted by the Laws and Constitutions of the Roman Empire. It was the Denial of a lawful Subjection which the Apostle calls Resistance, and which alone he argues against. But whether the Senate, or the whole Body of the Roman Empire might defend their Lives and Liberties, and the Constitutions by which they were secured, against the tyrannical Invasions and unnatural Usurpations of an arbitrary Nero; as it was not the Business of the Apostle to determine, and foreign to the Design of Christianity, so he does not at all concern himself about that. And how he can make his Appeal to Cosar to determine the Question, it is not easie to imagine. If this is brought either to justific the rightful Title of Nero to the supreme Power, or to warrant his Authority in superfeding all the Constitutions of the Roman Empire, and subjecting them to the Disposition of his arbitrary

\* Tacit. Lib. derstands the History of those Times, \* whether Mr. M. has not laid a Foundation

to justifie all the Usurpations in the World, as well as Tyranny: It being certain that his Right to the lovercign Power and the maintaining of it, was altogether supported by Fraud and Violence; and whatever Consent he gain'd from the Senate, it was perfectly extorted from them by the same Methods. So that suppose Monarchy is of divine Right, this was a notorious Usurpation of it, or else there is no such Thing in the whole World. And yet it may be lawful upon general Reasons from the Example of Christ, and the Apostle Paul, to yield a Subjection to such a Power, which we may not be fatisfied is according to our Laws. But that the Apostle meant such an unlimited Subjection, as must oblige the whole Community, tamely to fuffer the very Ends of Government to be destroyed, and to submit in short to one common Ruin, is fuch an Abfurdity as must efface the Law of God and Nature, as well as contradict the Apoltle's express Assertion and Limitations, who requires Subjection and Non-Resistance only to such Migistrates, who are not a Terrour to good Works, but to the Evil, and is the Asinister

of God for good, and beareth not the Sword in vain, a Revenger to execute Wrath upon him that doth Evil; and atten-

deth continually on this very Thing.

But to recover my felf; I'll grant that Relistance to a Power lawfully exercised, and directed to maintain the publick Good, tho' many Mismanagements may happen, is a Sin attended with all the Aggravations the Apostle loads it with. The great End of Laws is to be a Bulwark to the Welfare of humane. Society, and to ballance the Power and Dominion in every Part of it, and also to restrain the Extravagancies and Disorders which will otherwise occur. Government is of fo absolute Necessity that natural Reason leads to it; especially now humane Nature is so depraved, it would leave Life and Property in a very precarious Possession, without such establish'd Laws as are fitted to be the Standard of Right and Wrong, and to adjust all Differences, which otherwise might be prejudicial to the Harmony of the whole Community. Therefore those who enter upon any Measures to subvert the Laws, to restrain the Execution of them, to oppose those who are invested with Authority by common Confent, for the Application of these Rules to the great End and Purposes of Government, are 'certainly the greatest Enemies to Mankind, as labouring to introduce a common Mifery and Destruction, and to subject Liberty and Property to the tempestuous Violence of Men's Passions. So that it is evident from the Safety of Civil Societies, there results the indispensible Duty of Submission to all the Laws enacted for the Preservation of it; and I can't apprehend, but the same Reason lays an indispensible Obligation on Governors to act pursuant to the same Purpose; as far as humane Prudence can direct them: Neither have they any Right from the Laws of God or Man, to enact any Law or to exact any Obedience, but what has a subserviency to promote the common Welfare. Such an Usurpation would extinguish all Allegiance, and empower the Community to defend it self as against F.

against the Hostility of a publick Invader; otherwise it would be a tacit consenting to our Ruin.

To be more particular; tho' Magistracy is, of divine Institution for such Reasons as are obvious to common Sense: Yet as neither the Charter of Nature or Revelation have determined the Form, but have left every Society to enter upon fuch Measures as may be most probable to answer the Ends of a divine Appointment; so neither can any Person (unless a divine Command interposes) pretendiany other immediate Right to the Execution of these Laws, but what he derives from such Constitutions as are fettled by common Confent. So that abstracting from the Conftitution, no Man has a Right to govern us: And if any Prince goes about to annul the Constitution, and subvert the Establishment from whence he derives his Right to the supreme Power, he certain-ly goes about to destroy his own Right, and consequently absolves his Subjects from all Obedience and Submission to the extravagant Exercises of such a Power.

Therefore if a Prince after he has by most folemn Stipulations, Contracts and Appeals to Heaven, given Assurance to exercise his Government according to the stated and settled Laws and Constitutions of the Country; should labour to subvert the fundamental Laws, elude his Contracts, break down the Boundaries the Law has fet him, assume a Power over the Lives, Liberties and Properties of his Subjects, which he has no legal Right to, and trample upon the very End and original Delign of Government; certainly he hereby cancels all Allegiance, and it is so far from being a Sin, that it is the Duty of the Subjects to oppose him, and to fecure themselves from such Attempts as manifestly tend to their Destruction. Or else the natural Instinct God has planted in us, for our own Preservati-

Perfecu.

on must be made void. And this will Lacisu. de Mort. fufficiently justifie the severe Proceedings of the Senate against that unnatural Monster Nero, which tho' the

Christians had no Hand in, yet certainly they approv'd of it. So that Mr. M's Sermons are a very wild Comment upon the Apostle's Doctrines, as if he had enjoin'd a Submission to such an Administration as tends to introduce an univerfal Ruin. The Absurdity of this will further appear if we consider,

1. The highest Afferters of Monarchy never underflood the Apostle in this Sense. And even those who have been most celebrated for maintaining the Rights and Prerogatives of crowned Heads, as \* Grotius and Barclay, yet they ne Belli & Grot de jure ver stated the Matter so, as to forc- Belli & Pac. Lib. I. Cap. 4. p. 139. close all Resistance. Groties tho' he carries Christian Patience to a vast Height, and to a further Length than his Countryman Not . Gronovij Gronovius thought fit; yet in divers Respects he judges Resistance allowable, for which he brings in Barclay as a Voucher. I shall only mention two,

(1.) When a King quits all Care of a Ibid. Pag. 150.

Commonwealth, and resolves upon their Destruction. Si Rex vere hostili animo in totius populi exitium feratur, &c. As Nero and Caligula, who had refolved to cut off the Senate and People of Rome, and lay the City waste with Fire and Sword, and then to remove to some other Place. (2.) If a King who has but a Moiety of the supreme Power, and the People and Senate the other Part, it is lawful for the Senate or l'eople to defend their Share of the Soveraignty; because his Power is not extended to it. Which is the very Case of England, where the supreme Power is lodged in the King, Lords and Commons. Bishop Bilfor, Hooker and others acknowledge the same; Which is needless to mention. Mr. M. says, Serm. 1707?. p. 43. That he never took those two exellent Men for infallible in all Particulars. I hope while he is liable to the same Exception, he will excuse our admitting the Weight of their Suffrages to overule his weaker Judgment, especially when it is set in Competition with their Penetration.

of Nature, one would wonder how any Man can oppose it. It is a Principle so universally rooted in Nature as neither the finest Flourish of Words, nor the most plansible Pretences can ever obliterate \* Lock of Gov. it. \* Earclay puts the Question thus; Must the People submit to the Rage and Fury of Tyranny, and suffer the utmost Devastation? Must Men be debarr'd the common Priviledge of opposing Force with Force, which Nature allows so freely to all other Creatures, for their Preservation sion lipiny? He answers, Self Defence is a Part of the Law of Nature; nor can it be denied to a Community, even against the King himself. But yet he says, they must not revenge themselves, and prescribes such Limitations as Mr. Lock has fully shew'd the Weakness of. David's De-

themselves, and prescribes such Limitations as Mr. Lock has fully shew'd the Weakness of. David's Defence of himself against the unjust Persecution of Saul is some Illustration of this Case. But what are the Rights and Interests of a single Person to those of a whole. Community? The same Law which empower'd one, will much more empower the other to secure themselves against a visible Destruction.

3. But how these Notions can be reconciled to the Revolution, I can't imagine. They might have seem'd indifferent while they were confined to meer speculations; or they might serve well enough for the Amusements of Conversation. But this wild Hypothesis, when it came to the Tryal, wasted into nothing: And who more forward than the Clergy in trampling upon their own Doctrine. I suppose it can hardly be deny'd, but that the Revolution was Resistance: Indeed it has been call'd a Conquest; but the Notion suffered Martyrdom. The Parliament of England could not digest the Thought of it. I must consess it is directly Resistance, when we consider all the Circumstances of it. Or how shall we interpret the Invitation of the Prince of Orange to our Assistance by divers of the Lords Spiritual and

Temporal? which if it were not true, the Bishops would not declare their Abhorrence of it. The Rissing of the Nobility and Gentry, and joining with the Prince of Orange in Defence of their common Liberty; their Associations, and the Declaration of the Nobility, Gentry and Commonalty assembled in Arms at Nottingham, the Letters of the QUEEN, and of Prince George, and the Duke of Marlborough; the Lords Spiritual and Temporal addressing the Prince of Orange to take upon him the Administration of publick Assairs; the sighting against King James at the Boyne, and diverse other Transactions of this Nature, which we should not know how to call by any Name, if they were not comprehended in the Notion of Self-Defence and Resistance.

We may guess at Mr. M's. Opinion by the admirable Expedients he proposes, to secure us against the Exorbitancies of Tyranny, which are these two, in Sermon Jan. 30. 1707?. First we should make an Experiment of redressing our Grievances by Petitioning; and if that does not succeed, then he leaves no other Resuge, but some foreign Country. But as for the Notion of Resistance he charges it as a Sin of the most damnable Composition, being crowded with Sedition, Antichristianism, Atheism, Treason, Serm. Jan. 30. 1707? p. 35. 39, 42, 45.

What a Libel this is on the Revolution and prefent Settlement which are founded upon these Principles, is notoriously evident. Nor can it be interpreted otherwise than as a seditious Investive against the QUEEN, who was not only deeply engaged in the Revolution; but has since in her Answer to an Address of the House of Lords, declared that she must place her chief Dependance upon those who have given repeated Proofs of their greatest Warmth and Concern for the Support of the Revolution, Security of her Person, and of the Protestant Succession. Nay, surther, I can't see how we can distinguish it, from a blasphemous Reproach upon the miraculous Hand of Heaven, which was so visible in the Production of such an

extraordinary Event. These are Conclusions any rational Person would deduce from the Tenour of his Discourse. If it is not a perfect Contradiction to the present Establishment, it looks so like one, that he must strain all his Talents to reconcile it. Did Pasmult itrain all his Talents to reconcile it. Dia Pajfive Obedience, Non-refistance, Petitioning for Redress of
Grievances, Running away into foreign Countreys, drive
King James out of England, seat King WILLIAM
on the Throne, make Way for his glorious Successor
Queen ANNE, bottle the Protestant Succession? As
it would be the grotist Absurdicy to affirm it;
so according to him Alexander, the QUEEN,
and the whole Nation are involved in the Guilt of a dammable Repellion, or else he wou'd delude us with unsufferable Nontense.

But I warrant you, a Man who has the Vanity to think himself fit, to be the publick Censor of the Writings of those, who bere the highest Character in the Age they liv'd in, will refent this latter as too groß an Imputation upon his Performances; therefore I'll wave the Charge: But he must forgive me; if I suspect his Friendship, when I find him afferting the Divine Right of Monarchy, and an unlimited Subjection to all their arbitrary Impofitions, persuading Mankind to submit to their Destruction, inculcating it as an indisputable Article of Religion, destroying all Resuges against the oppresfive Measures of Tyranny, but such as are ineffectual, razing the very Principles of Self-Preservation which are indelibly writ upon our Natures, obliging us to submit to the very Subversion of the fundamental Laws of our Government without Opposition. These Principles are frequently interspers'd in Mr. M's. Sermons; and they are such that without Prejudice will lead one naturally to think, that he is posses'd with an implacable Prejudice to the Revolution, to the QUEEN's Right, by Virtue of the Act of Settlement, which we may cally suppose he looks upon as no Accession to the Strength of her Title, by his insisting so much on her Hereditary Right: Which in Effect is declaring

claring K. William's possessing the Throne an Usurpation, and the Settlement of the Protestant Succession a perpetuating of it. I shall leave it to the unprejudic'd Reader, whether these are not the just Consequences of Mr. M's. Notions, and the declared Principles of Jacobitism. How destructive they are to our present Settlement, and all the Advantages we have fecured by the Revolution, let any one judge. Therefore let Men cover their Designs never so fairly with plausible Insinuations, while there is such a Harmony betwixt their Principles, Designs and Interests, and those of the professed Enemies of the Government, I can't see why we should not entertain the same Jealousy of them. Nay rather more, fince it is evident, the Oaths they have took to maintain all the glorious Effects of the Revolution, have had so little Effect upon their Consciences, as they can't restrain them from venting such Principles with an uncommon Zeal, which are diametrically opposite to it, and tend only to undermine the peaceable Possession of our Establishment, and to throw all into Confusion.

3. Another Mistake of Mr. M's. is, to charge the Dissenters with disloyal and seditious Principles, and to represent them, as if they were Enemies to the QUEEN and her Government, and branding their Ancestors as principal Causes of the Civil War and cutting off the King's Head, &c. After he had drawn up an odd Scheme of political Principles of his own forming, he says, these were preach'd by the Marshals, Calamys, the Baxters, the Peters, Goodwins, Owens and others the Boatefeus in the great Rebellion. These (says he) fill'd three Kingdoms with Sects and Sacrileage, with Blood and Murder, &c. Penny Sermon Jan. 30th, 170% p. 20. And these were the Principles which murdered him. p. 18. And to compleat their Character, he says, they are generally Men of no Religion, no Principle, no Honour, no Honesty, who maintain them; They laugh at that Religion they preach, and look upon the whole Dostrine of the Gospel as a pretty Fable: By discoursing on which they may get a

competent Livelyhood; and as for their future Account, they little think of it. Sermon Jan. 30. 170%, p. 3. And had they Opportunity would be as ready to cut off the precious Life of our present gracious Queen, as ever their Fathers were to murder her pious and illustrious Grandfather. Serm. Jan. 30. 170% p. 24. Being those who hate all Monarchy, and all Religion, and that God who founded them. Sermon Jan. 30th, 170% p. 16.

I have read a Story which will help us out in this dismal Representation. The first Embassy K. James I. sent to Spain, perfectly surprised the Spaniards, not only when they saw the Grandeur of it in general; but the Beauty of our English Gentlemen, whom the Stories of their Priests had transformed into Devils, which they pretended was a Punishment inslicted on the Nation for casting off the Fope's Supremacy. And they had pictured Sir Francis Drake, half a Man and half a Dragon. But

\* Arnob. advers. this Forgery of their Priests. \* This Gent. Lib. I. was was an old Paganish Artifice to

impute all the Desolations which fell upon the Roman Empire to the Christians. It may be a tolerable Fault amongst Heathens; but for

may be a tolerable Fault amongst Heathens; but for one, that pretends to bear the Character of Christ's Embassadour to adopt this devilish Practice is intolerable. This hellish Description needs no other Anfwer, but only to beg the Diffenters would put up. their hearty Prayers to God to forgive this Man his notorious Lying and Malignity. Their Characters. Behaviour and Principles are too well known, to require a Defence against such slanderous Imputations. And I believe Mr. M. will find it difficult to fingle ont many of the Diffenting Ministers, who have contributed to forfeit the Character of pious and sober, fo much as he himself hath done. I'll only observe this; We may fee from hence how a degenerate. Clergy would usurp upon our Faith, and brand every thing with the Character of the Devil, that makes any Oppofition to their Deligns. These can serve no other Purpose

than to amuse the vulgar. However I shall examine

fome Part of Mr. M's. Account.

I wonder what History informs Mr. M. that the Presbyterians were the first Fomenters of the Civil Wars, or Rebellion, as he calls it: Unless we take the Title in Mr. M's Latitude, and call Arch-Bishop Abbot and all the moderate Churchmen, Presbyterians, who espous'd the Cause of Liberty and Property and the old Protestant Church of England, against the Encroachments of Fopery, arbitrary Power and the Design of an English Patriarchate. These Principles are novel and foreign to the English Constitution, and those of the Reformation. The Apprehension of which, rous'd the English Constitution and those of the Reformation. the English Genius to guard against their Fetters and Bondage. This Invasion upon the Civil and Ecclesiastical Rights of the Kingdom might have succeeded, notwithstanding all the Attempts the Presbyterians were capable of to the contrary, if they had not been led on by a prevailing Party of the Church of

England. They made so inconsiderable a Figure at that Time in England, of K. James. p.8. thro' the Severities of the former

Reign, which drove so many out of the Kingdom; that it was impossible there should be such a Number of them, as to give any Check to the violent Proceedings of the High Church; as might be easily demonstrated; nor indeed had they Reputation enough to alarm the Nation with a Sense of its Danger. Which leads me

to think there is fomething in what a considerable Author suggests; that Rights of the Christ. the high Church is invested with a Church. P. 291. the high Church is invested with a

Sort of a Transubstantiating Power,

which can make the *Peers*, Commonwealths-men, and the Bishops, Presbyterians. This is now a common Representation of those who are the best Friends to the Conflitution, and can't come up to all their extravagant Notions in Church and State. But this will further appear,

1. These Quarrels began between two considerable Parties in the Church, which in the former Reign were

diftin-

dittinguish'd by Regians and Republicans: Tho' in reality, the one, maintain'd the absolute Power and Prerogative of the King, and the other would have restrain'd it according to the Limits the Law had set it; which were the Principles of Hooker and divers other Churchmen. These in the next Reign were headed by Arch-Bishop Abbot on the one Side, and Bishop Laud on the other. Which Dr. Heylin in his Life of Laud (if his Authority is of any Weight) gives us full Assurance of; besides many other Testimonies. The Stories of Sybthorp, Mountague and Manwaring are common, who, tho' they suffered the Censure of Archbishop Abbot and the Parliament, yet they found Laud a sure Resuge and Protection. Who is stigmatiz'd as the grand Incendiary, that threw the whole Kingdom into a Flame, by puting the Court upon such oppressive Measures as were unsupportable. When he came to be Arch-Bishop he carried all before him, thro' the Violence of

his Temper; his Injunctions were abfolute,\* and the Refusers of them were prosecuted with the opprobrious Names of Puritan, and

Schismatick. There was a free Offering made of English Liberties to gain an independent Power in the Church, which appear'd in the highest Acts of Usurpation, by encouraging such Doctrines as were contrary to the Articles of the Church of England, by bringing in Innovations into the Church, and by labouring to debauch the Nation by a Book of Sports; by imposing new Canons and Oaths upon the Clergy without Consent of Parliament. This was an Assumption of such an arbitrary Legislation, and Jurisdiction as must have superfeded the English Constitution and have setter'd us with all the Miseries of an Agyptian Bondage. These Measures brought Arch-Bishop Abbot into Contempt, who could not keep Pace with them, and therefore while he was alive he was suspended and laid asside as useless.

2. The Parliament which fate down in 1640. was compos'd of fo great a Majority of Churchmen, that my

my \*Lord Clarendon confesses there were but Five professed Dissenters among both the Lords and Commons. And Mr. Baxter in his Life says many of the Members assured him, that they knew but of one \* Presbyterian in the House of Commons, which

\* Hist. of the Rebellion, Vol. I. Book 3. p. 184.

Baxt. Life. Part 3. p. 140.

is a plain Demonstration either of the Paucity of their Numbers, or their inconsiderable Interest in the Kingdom, and how unlikely they were to give any Check to the Exorbitancys of the Times, or contribute much to the Disorder of them, it is easie enough without much Penetration to imagine. And there is no Reason to think otherwise of their Armies. Their General the Earl of Essex was a Conformist, and the far greater Part of the Officers such. Arch-Bishop Williams engaged in the Parliament's Service, and divers others of the conformable Clergy. Nay, Dr. Hammond himself says, that the Synod at Westminster were all at first Conformists except nine or ten, in his Answer to the London Ministers. And Mr. Bakter \* asserts, Presbytery was \* Third Plea hardly known in England, 'till the for Peace. p. 36. Scots brought them to the Knowledge.

of it; and that which enclined the Parliament to give the first Countenance to it, was, when they were brought so low as to call in the Scots and others who were against Episcopacy, to their Assistance; and because they had seen the Prelacy sly so high, as that they apprehended it inconsistent with the Liberties of England. I can't help giving Credit to these Testimonies 'till Mr. M. consutes them; and I think him oblig'd to do it, to maintain his own Integrity: Tho' I think it is scarce possible for him to attempt it without Railery and Banter. However it is certain the Dissenters came in as free Volunteers to the Service of the Parliament, and thought it their Duty to

<sup>\*</sup> Let the curious Reader who would fee this Matter ferioully debated, confult Mr. Withers of Exeter his last Answer to Mr. Agase.

maintain the Constitution against all the illegal Prerogatives of the Crown, and the intolerable Usurpations of High Church; which probably afterwards gave them a considerable Reputation, and might much concur towards the Design of establishing such an Ecclesiastical Constitution in the Kingdom.

3. However they were accessary to the Beginning of the War, yet certainly they were as far as any Churchman from justifying the Consequences of it; or coming into those Measures which were form'd by some, to lay aside the old English Constitution, and to convert it into a meer Commonwealth. They as freely Remonstrated against the Design of the Parliament's usurping the supreme Power, as they had done against all the Encroachments of a Monarchical Power. The old English Constitution was the Measure of their Conduct. And therefore upon the absolute Defeat of the King's Forces, they were fo far from any Attempt upon the King's Life, that they voted his

\*Hyl. of Eng. ficient Ground of Peace \*: And had p. 165. certainly succeeded, in their Design of accomodating the Differences; had not

the Army turn'd them out of their Places in Parliament, which was under the Conduct of Crommel, whose

aspiring Designs then gave Suspicion:

After this, they made so bold a Remonstrance against taking away the King's Life, as must justifie to any reasonable Person, their Abhorrence of it; Part of which I shall here insert which is as follows;

"We hold our felves bound in Duty to God, "Religion, the King, Parliament and Kingdom, to profess before God, Angels and Men, that we verily believe that which is so much fear'd to be now in Agitation, the taking away the King's Life in the present Way of Tryal, is contraty to the Word of God, the Principles of the Protestant Religion, the fundamental Constitution of this "Kingdom, as also to the Oath of Allegiance, the Protestation of May 5, 1641. and the so-

" lemn League and Covenant; from all or any of which Engagements, we know not any Power on

"Earth able to absolve us or o-

"thers. \* — This was sign'd by \* Hift. of Eng. Fifty Eight Ministers Hands in and p. 175. about London. - Mr. Baxter in his

† Part I. p. 63. Life expresses the utmost Detestation

of it. And further, I have been af-

fured by a Man of Integrity, and Mr. M's. kindest Friend, that his Father Mr. Luke Milbourne, worthy godly Minister, who was ejected in 1662. from Roxall in Warwickshire, always kept the 30th of January as a Fast to his dying Day, for the Sin of the Kingdom, in cutting off the King's Head. So that Mr. M. need not have express'd so doleful a Concern for his Father's Sin as he feems to do with a peculiar Accent. Serm. 1703. p. 13.

After the fatal Stroke was given, they never could

be brought to own the Authority of the | Rump, protesting against their Proceedings: and in divers Overt Acts refused a Submission to them. They made divers Attempts to bring in King Charles, for which Mr. \* Love lost his Head, and divers others fuffer'd Im-

Rushworth's Collect. Vol. II. Part 4. p. 1363.

\* Hist. of Eng. p.185. Vol. III.

Ibid. p. 186. . prisonment. They expresly declar'd against Oliver's Usurpation; and he never could with all his politick Fetches bring them into his Interest. And at last by their Influence and Management they brought in the King, (when the Episcopal Party hardly durst make an Appearance to forward the Attempt;) tho' the Event was their own Ruin, being rewarded with nothing but the feverest Profecutions, Fines, Confiscations, Imprisonments, and all such insolene Treatments as might force them upon some Design against the Government. Which however they abhorr'd; and they stand chargeable with no Plots but fuch as were of High-Church's own forming, or fuch as the Papifts would have fathered upon them, when all their Devilish Designs against the Government were laid open.

4. Mr. M. to render them suspected to the present Oovernment. insinuates, that they would cut off the Life of the QUEEN, whose Government they have all along submitted to with the greatest Applause and Admiration, and without the least Exception.

Quis tulerit Gracchos, de Seditione quarentes?

Juv. Sat. 2.

Were they Diffenters who have all along rail'd at and banter'd the Revolution, and the present Settlement? Were they Diffenters who were in the Asfassination Plot, and that were hang'd at Tyburn? Were they Diffenters who embarras'd the Affairs of King WILLIAM, betray'd Councils, hindred Supplies, and oppos'd every thing that was for the Security of what, we had gain'd by the Revolution? Were they Diffenters who ventured upon the dangerous Experiment the Tack? Which if it had succeeded in a Manner suitable to their Wishes, it must have endangered the Liberty of Europe, as well as the Protestant Religion both at home and abroad. Were they Diffenters who infulted the QUEEN in the Libel call'd The Memorial of the Church of England? Were they Diffenters who were engag'd in the Invasion of the Pretender? Does not Mr. M. know that these Sort of Men have always professed themfelves to be Members of the Church of England. What intolerable Infolence is this to charge any thing of this Nature upon the Diffenters, who are the most absolute Friends of the QUEEN and Government; when it is notorious that it is Men of his Principles, who are always infulting the QUEEN, rejoycing in the Successes of the French, traducing the Ministry, exerting their utmost Endeavours to subvert our present Settlement, and to throw all into Confusion; yet it would be as notorious a Fault to charge these Apostate Principles and Practices; upon the Church of England, as it would be the Transactions

actions of a few Enthusiasts upon the whole Body of the Different.

But to draw to a Conclusion, I shall only take notice of two or three things about the Observation of this Day; and fo shall dismiss the Reader. These Principles have insulted both Church and State for above a Century; and in that small Revolution of Years had twice betray'd it, had not the Indulgence of Heaven exerted a miraculous Hand to have rescu'd us, without which we must have sunk under the Oppressions of Popery and Tyranny. Many of the Church are fond of the Day: and tho' I really abhor the Fact it commemorates; yet the leading Reason (as one may fear) of their Zeal for it, is the very Ground of my Jealousie and Distrust about it. I am satisfy'd the Wisdom of our Legislature never calculated it for their own Destruction; and to give an Opportunity of propagating such Principles, as bid a Desiance to the happy Constitution of Church and State; tho' Mr. Lesley, the celebrated Advocate of High-Church holdly infinuates in one of his Anfwers to Mr. Hoadly, that the Legislature have referv'd this Day purposely to be solemniz'd with the Harangues of the Clergy against Re-

P. 15. fistance. From the first Rise of them we may justly date our Miseries; the Sense of what we have felt, shou'd

make us fet a Guard upon every Avenue, thro' which the Zealots of these Notions wou'd crow'd them in upon us. Whether there is not a Possibility of being immers'd in the same Difficulties, I shall beg

leave to consider two or three things.

Tho' we are exceedingly happy in the Glory and Lustre that generally adorn our Episcopal Sees. Yet it is too evident these Principles have spread themselves upon the Minds of the greater Part of our inferiour Ecclesiasticks, and their Zeal is, no less to promote and establish them. When it is consider'd how they prevail in the two Universities, and the Dispo-

Disposition of the Lower House of Convocation up-on the last Election of a Prolocutor, it will hardly be thought I mistake in my Calculation. On every Thirtieth of January there are Crowds of Instances of Ecclesiastical Zeal, in spreading the Infection. The Delign of recovering the Reputation of these Absurdities at Court has prov'd abortive: and at last they are fell under the happy Censure of our Legi-flature. Yet we may fear the Distemper is too ma-lignant for common Remedies. The Authority, Learning, and the Advantages the Clergy have to recommend them, are almost irresistible. And besides, they have an inexhaustible Fund of Artifice which upon Occasion is easily drawn forth to serve Turn, and to amuse vulgar Apprehensions with terrible Prospects, as if the Church was going to be fwallowed up alive; when alas it is known the Word Church has been only made use of to make the Imposture more imperceptible. Every one has been fensible that the Dignity, Revenues and Discipline of the Church were never aim'd at, or thought of, nor the Articles, Homilies, &c. ever liable to Exception. But it was only that narrow Term, the High-Church, which vented that dismal Groan as if their All was just expiring. - It need not be a Matter of Admiration, why the High Clergy bend all the Force of their Zeal this Way; if the Earnings they intend to make of it be but duly consider'd; which is nothing less than a Jurisdiction that may rival the Crown, and fetter the Consciences of the Laity with their Synodical Determinations: Or else they can as easily reverse this Political Maxim of the Divine Right of Monarchy, when it runs counter to their Interest. For it would make one smile to obferve how the Bishops who had been the greatest Friends to Prerogative, and had express'd a distinguishing Zeal in supporting all the illegal Stretches of Kingly Power, in the beginning of King James's Reign; yet a little before his Abdication, they thought fit to give some Testimony of the Alteration of their

Sentiments in their Address on Ottob. 3. 1688: These would have been damnable Positions once without a fevere Repentance. But while Tyranny run on the Church Side, the Clergy could easily conjure up a Divinity to be a Pimp to it. And this was Consideration that lead them to be so

liberal of English Liberties. \* But when Judgment began at the House of fon's Notes up-" God, as Dr. Sherlock preach'd upon

\* Mr. Johnon the Pastoral Letter. p. 49.

" the Bishops being sent to the Tower,

"then their Note was quite alter'd; King James had forfeited, and ought to be depos'd, with a great "deal more to that purpose. But when they grew " jealous of the Revolution, and could not tell what to " make of it, having missed of two Hits, both of having "King James, and afterwards of having his intended " Deputy the Prince of Orange in their Hands, and want-" ed a third Hit: Then the Words were these; Well, will not the Convention send for the King back again? If they will not, we have forty thousand Men to fetch him back. This has been the Foundation of those Attempts of reconciling the Revolution to their Principles: or rather of making a Present of them to our prefent Settlement, but certainly we must swallow the highest Contradictions to Sense, before this can be successfully accomplished.

The best Interpretation we can put upon it, is, that they can't find any other Principles fo well fuited to serve a Turn; therefore while the Interest of the Clergy is embark'd in these Notions, there are fuch Charms in Authority and Dominion as they will be for ever restless, 'till the Imposture has took Effect. The true Intent of which is a real Conspiracy against all our civil and religious Liberties. This will be reflected on as malicious Infinuation; tho' the Histories of the last Century will furnish us with

Evidence to make it credible.

I shall only touch upon some Proceedings of Arch-Bishop Land, as I find them related by Historians. The Court for fometime had been infected with a G

Design

Delign of making an Anvalion upon the Libertie of the People, (which, however it came to be conjured, up, it is no Matter) the Bishops thought by coming into the Project, they might have an Opportunity of possessing themselves of an absolute independent Power in the Church; which, tho' it was quite contrary to the Laws of the Land, their Oath of "Supremacy; the Principles of the Reformation; yet no doubt, as they had been train'd up in forming Distinctions, they were at no Loss to find out a Salvo for their Consciences. K. James I. was hardly well feated in the Throne, but the Bishops took care to graft this Maxim in him, \* Wilfow's Hist. \* No Bishop, no King. And to make this of K. James. p. the more credible, they came in Volunteers to the Support of his Prerogative: Which in the next-Reign that it might take its full Scope, it was establish'd in Convocation, that Monarchy was of Divine Right, as may be seen in the first of those Canons publish'd in 1640. What a Complement this was to the English Liberty and Constitution, is manifest by the Votes of Parliament, which fat down that fame Year, before the unhappy Commotions were broke out, who † Rushworth's Incmine contradicente judg'd it amongst Collect. p. 112. Vol. I. Part III. the rest of the Canons to be contraty to the King's Prerogative, to the Fundamental Laws and Statutes of the Realm, to the Rights of Parliament, to the Property and Liberty of the Subject, and a Matter tending to Sedition, and of dangerous Consequence. And much to the same purpose that great Politician of the Age, My Lord Shaftsbury says, "If House of Lords." this Doctrine of Divine Right be true, our Magna Charta is of no 1675. " Use, our Laws are but Rules a-

mongst our selves during the King's Pleasure.
Monarchy, if of Divine Right, can't be bounded,
or limited by humane Laws; nay, what's more,
can't bind it self; and all our Claims of Right by

the Law or Constitution of the Government, all the Jurisdiction and Privilege of this House, all the "Rights and Privileges of the House of Commons, all " the Properties and Liberties of the People are to give " Way, not only to the Interest, but to the Will and "Pleasure of the Crown .- It may be a surprizing Astonishment to any indifferent Person, to observe Men invested with an Authority design'd by God for the highest Services to Mankind, should be so far devested of all Humanity, as to concur in the most notorious Violations of the common Safety. But where their Aims rise no higher than a poor narrow selfish Interest; it is no Wonder that Religion is so far perverted, as to be forc'd to give License to all their extravagant Measures. It is very probable, this Notion had never been thought of, which is so highly prejudicial to our civil Interest, had it not been to gratifie the insupportable Itch of exercising a Tyrannical Power in the Church; which by amufing the Prince with Pretences to arbitrary Power, they had Hopes of introducing. And how far they had proceeded in this Usurpation, is plain enough by the Bishops denying they had their Jurisdiction from the King as Bishops; but from God only, as they affirm'd in the High-Commission Court, upon the Censure of \* Bastwick. \*Whitlock's Mc-The Event discovered that this was moirs, v. 21, 22. Coke's Detect. not a naked Declaration; for under p. 111, 112., the Covert of this imaginary Pretence, they assum'd to act in their own Names, and by the Virtue of a Divine Right to crowd the Imposition of a thousand Fancies upon the Clergy; and also to deprive several of their Benefices for resusing to read the Book of Sports, and a Submission to the Variety of the superstitions Follies the Bishops had invented. This is the grand Design in Miniature, which has appropriated all their Zeal, and employs all the Talents, Nature and Industry have endued them with for the uncontroulable Settlement of it. How opposite it has been to the very Constitution and F 2 Rights (52)

Rights of Parliaments, the grand Security of common Liberties, is notorious. From this Spring have rose all their Resentments, and have lead them on to load all the Opposers of their Encroachments, with Heaps of Lies and Calumnies. Neither has our incomparable QUEEN escap'd the Lashes of their Censures, only for refusing to become their Property, and for accommodating her Government to the general Ease and Satisfaction of all her Subjects.

Now when we consider the universal Spread of this Infection amongst the Clergy, and the dangerous Tendency of it; no Wonder if it appear to corrode our Vitals: And also what an Influence such a Confederacy has to profelyte People to espouse their Dictates; especially when we observe their Methods of Infinuation; and how the Cheat is wrap'd up in the venerable Name of Church to make it current; it may justly awaken the Nation to exercise a little Circumspecti-The Word Church in their Sense has a very narrow Signification, and stands by it self; for is diftinguished from the Church establish'd by Law. as the Derivation of its Power and Authority is pretended to be from the Apostles, by an uninter-rupted Succession; which tho' it is one of the Popish Characters of a Church, yet it is not wholly Popish: fo that its Conslitution is a perfect Medly, a meer Composition form'd by their own Fancies, and calculated in Imitation of the Popish Hierarchy, to fetter Mankind with its Injunctions. It is fraught with an Ambition superior to the fundamental Laws of the Government, strikes at the Civil Constitution, and has made desperate Attempts to superinduce a Tyranny upon our Natural Rights. They are now continually pushing on their Designs: insomuch that the' the Wisdom of a Parliament should think fit to set aside the 30th of January, upon which they falfly suppose themselves to be authorized to maintain their Notions; and raise up Legal Defences for the common Safety, enact a Civil Test instead of a religious one, spread the Foundations of the Government, and let in

in all the hearty Freinds of it, this would be a considerable Step, yet hardly sufficient to secure us against

the Subtilties of this innovating Humour.

What is dangerous to the civil Constitution in this Respect, is equally hazardous to the Church of England as establish'd by Law. The Claims of High Church to a divine Jurisdiction, is a Principle directly destructive of a legal Establishment, and of the Queen's Supremacy in Ecclesiastical Affairs, which is the Foot our Reformation from Popery is built upon. And this is evidently demonstrated by a late

\* Author, who, however exceptionable he may be in other Respects, yet in this is clear beyond all doubt. And this is the Foundation of all

the Clamour against our first Refor-

mers. Mr. Dodwel stilling the Oath of Supremacy, as explain'd by the 37. Hen. VIII. an extravagant and impious Notion, and admires such a Betrayer of Ecclesiastical Rights, as Cranmer, should by our Ecclesiastical Historian of the Reformation be propos'd as an exemplary Hero.

The Apprehension of these Principles being infused into King Ed-

\* Pref. to the Rights of Christ. Church.

Ibid. p. 67.

Ibid. p. 272.

ward VI. made Heylin represent his Death as a seasonable Mercy to the Church. This has inroll'd Bishop Land amongst the Red-Letters of the High Church Calender, and exalted his Death to the highest Degree of Martyrdom; who made the boldest Attempt to undermine the legal Establishment of the Church, and wholly to invest an independent Authority in the Bishops, by the Vertue of a divine Right, in Opposition to the Jurisdiction and Power they derived from the Laws of the Land. And what a fatal Blow this was to Episcopacy, every one knows: The Fines, Profecutions, Imprisonments, barbarous Treatments of the Bishops Courts, made them intolerable. And when we consider the Prevalency of these Notions after the Restoration, it may not be an improbable Conjecture, that this might be a fecret Reason which migh might influence the Bishops to concur in appointing the 30th of Jan. (under the Pretence of being "a malancholy Commemoration of the tragical End of that unfortunate Prince) to be a fit Opportunity of spreading those Notions, that might render the Establishing of their despotick Power as indispensibly necessary ry, which had like to have overfet the Church the fecond Time. By which we may judge that if these Notions should take Effect, it will render Episcopacy unsupportable, and will be a means of introducing another Form of Church Government, more agreeable to the Support of our common Liberty.

But here again their Zeal has betray'd them, by advancing such Absurdities, as one can hardly distinguish from Blasphemy; comparing the Sufferings of the martyr'd King, to the Sufferings of Chieft, as if they run parallel. Tho' the King was a great Prince, yet fure we must allow he came infinitely short of Divinity. This is Enthusiasm beyond Expression, to raze the very Foundation of the Christian Religion, to create a Veneration to a poor perishing Mortal, who had nothing to distinguish him from the common Frailties of humane Nature, but the Title and Authority of a Monarch. Such fulsom Divinity as this, would have been abhorr'd by a Prince of fo much perfonal Virtue as King Charles had, could he rife from the Grave to hear it. Indeed it may ferve for an Amusement to vulgar Apprehensions; but with Men of Sense and Learning, who have any due Regard to Religion, it must be censur'd as ridiculous. Such Raptures can ferve no other End, but to make People either Superstitious or Atheistical, and most likely the latter. We live in an Age, where the Laity almost vie with the Clergy for Learning: And will not this lead them to think, that the Clergy are ready to facrifice the Principles of common Sense as well as Religion to their Interest. In a Word, it is venting the most profane Libel on their own Function. This Sort of Cant may very probably be affign'd as one Reason why Deilm has spread so much, and fed the Preiu\*\* An Account of the Force of Divine Revelation; the Growth of Deifm. as an ingenious \* Author hath already fuggested to the World.

. Again how impossible does this render all our Hopes of Reconciliation, and bringing Differences amongst our felves to an End. It is our Division that gives us the most melancholly Prospect. The great Hap-piness this Island enjoys by its Scitnation, whereby we are fecured against all Foreign Attempts, is much obscured, not so much by the Diversity of Opinions, as by the Heat and Rancour with which they are maintain'd. Our Animolities are voracious; and they so far prevail against Religion and Humanity, as if the Clergy had blotted out the new Commandment Christ gave his Disciples, Love one another. Sometimes we read of Miracles wrought by the most improbable Means: It would be a Presumption to limit infinite Power; but no wise Set of Men would ever prescribe railing, continu'd Misrepresentations, the most reproachful Calumnies, as Remedies for Peace. A Divine Hand can bring Honey out of the Eater; and reconcile the jarring Discords of Mens Passions by Contrarieties: But alas, we have by our Sins so much abused the miraculous Indulgence of Providence, as we may fear we have made our selves unfit for Miracles. The Grave has filenc'd all the great Transactors of Divisions of the last Age, and how well would it be, if all their Debates were buried with them. But alas, the Mischief is entail'd, it is propagated with their Beings, as if Contention was the common Inheritance of a degenerate Clergy. If any thing would do, the Follies of their Ancestors might cure them, but they adopt their Resentments and thereby render the Disease incurable. The High Church grasp at Power, Pomp and Greatness, more than the real Excellency of Religion: Else I can't apprehend why they set themfelves to banter and burlefque Moderation, Love, Peace, Condescension and such other Vertues, which the Christian Religion principally recommends. And accordingly

cordingly they have acted, and defeated all Attempts that have been made towards an Union. How gladly would the greatest Part of the Dissenters have come in, upon K. Charles II's. Declaration \* from Breda, as is lately re-

presented by an Historian of their own Body. But High Church Zeal was always remarkable against such

\* Hift. of Eng. Vol. III. p. 229.

† Rights of that Church. p. 279.

Attempts. † When the Convocation in 1589 had by the Favour of Heaven an Opportunity of performing their folemn Declarations of easing their Protestant Brethren; yet was not there a Party amongst them, who with Scorn rejected all Terms of Accommodation, and thought the very recommending to them such Alterations in things indifferent, an Assiront never to be forgiven, which was the Foundation of the invete-rate Malice with which, that glorious Prince to whom we owe our common Safety, was to the last prosecuted.

This Kind of Proceeding, so contrary in it self to the every Purpose and Design of Religion, may tempt any one to entertain the meanest, and most contemptible Opinion of the Office of the Priesthood, as if it was calculated rather to oppress and enslave Mankind, than to recover them from the Ruins of their Apostacy, and to restore them to a State of Perfection and Liberty. Must it not needs be a great Reflection upon the Honesty and Discretion, the Christian Charity, and generous Nature of the English Clergy, to hear them Annually abuse their innocent Neighbours, and charge them with Crimes in which they had no Coneern, and which the generality of them abhor; to disturb the Quiet of Peoples Minds, enslame their Differences and Animolities, and fix Jealousies and Hatred against one another, all the rest of the Year?
Of which I have known many remarkable Instances.
Nor is this often without a strange Mixture of

fulsome Flattery and unsufferable Pride, many Expressions pressions of servile Compliance, as well as aspiring Ambition: They would raise the Regal Power upon the Ruins of Civil Liberty, and add Church Tyranny to that of the State; enslave the Consciences of Men as well as their Fortunes, and make themselves Lords of God's Heritage, and have Dominion over our Faith. Thus I have known the extravagant Praises of the Royal Martyr, run Men not only upon irreligious Rants, but Civil Seditions, and lead them at once to talk Blasphemy against Heaven, and Treason against the State.

And especially when Men shall observe, how many of the Clergy upon that Day, exhaust all the Treasures of their Eloquence and Zeal, declaim in florid Harangues upon many imaginary Scenes, give false Colours to leading Points, and frequently trespass against notorious Facts, shew a greater Zeal in the Cause of Charles the 1st, than for any Principle of Religion, or Doctrine of Christianity, are louder and longer upon the 30th of January, than any Sunday in the Year; This may naturally tempt Men to question their Integrity, and suspect their Designs, and perhaps is one considerable Reason of the general Contempt of the Clergy of this Age, and which is much more considerable, of denying the Institution, and rejecting the Office it self.

With what a deformed Complexion would these Men represent the Church of England, as if she espous'd Principles that had a Tendency to deface the very Ideas of Goodness, andtrample on the Appearances of Virtue and Morality, by corrupting Religion with such Glosses as truly render it inconsistent with common Humanity. Are Railing, Persecution, the highest Usurpations upon the Rights of Mankind, any Marks of a Church, whose Head is the Prince of Peace? These are Imputations which are the highest Stander to the Church of England as established by Law; and which the truest Members of it reject with the utmost Abhorrence. As it has made the brightest Figure in the Reformation, it is impossible it should H

pollute it self with the Dregs of Popish Tyranny and Bondage. If the greatest Severities that Words can devise, or Laws enact, be Methods to reconcile Disfenters to the Church, how shall we distinguish such Arguments from French Dragooning, or the Spamiss Industrial Plagooning, of the propagated with these Pretences, a continued Series of Miracles would scarce have been sufficient to have muintain'd its standing. Christ says, bis Kingdom is not of this World, but Mankind must be strangely infatuated, to believe the Jurisdiction and Grandeur of the Popish Constitution to be a just Comment upon his Doctrine. If the Life and Doctrine of Christ are our Patterns, then all fuch Aims and Thirst after Power are the Reverse of it: The great Characteristicks of Christ's Kingdom are, Righteousness, Peace, and Joy in the Haly Ghost. He never intended that it should be distinguish'd by external Pomp and Dominion, which he affigus as a Property of the Kingdoms of this World. The Kings of the Gentiles exercise Lordship over them, but ye shall not be so. Religion is of another Nature, which is to regulate our Lives according to the Rules of Vertue. And therefore all Pretences and Claims to Power and Jurisdiction are really infignificant and vain, without Ascekness, Charity and Goodwill to Mankind. Otherwise it is an absolute Usurpation of the Christian Name, or the Apostle Paul's Temper of becoming all Things to all Men would be Nonfense. The Church of England has found the glorious Effects of Toleration and Condescension to Dissenters, and they have fo far triumph'd over our Heats and Animolities, as has been a fatal Disapointment to our Enemies, throughout the whole Scene of this expensive War, which one would think fhould dispose the Nation to a further Union, and to lay aside all the Pretences which have been made use of, to widen our Breaches and enflame our Differences. So that when we confider the fatal influence, these Prerogative Doctrines have had upon our common Welfare, which by a little Reflection on former Events, we may find have in**fligated** 

(59) fligated the Promoters of them to betray our Charters, Rights and Privileges, to spread abroad their dividing Principles, and to stir up the most dangerous Animolities, and are continually Alarming the Kingdom, fecretly conspiring against our present Settlement, filling Peoples Minds with Imagina-tions of Danger, declaiming against all Methods of a peaceable Accommodation, and feeding the Rancour and Heat of Mens Minds by false Representations and malicious Invectives: These Considerations which are notoriously obvious to common Notice, may justly lead the Nation to look on these factious Zealots, as the most dangerous Enemies, and worthy of their highest Resentments; who would in Consequence destroy our most valuable Blessings, defeat all the glorious Effects of the Revolution, and render our present Settlement unsafe and precari-ous, by giving such Advantages to those, who are waiting for an Opportunity to subvert our Peace, and to involve us in one common Ruin. Therefore I shall conclude with a hearty Concurrence to that admirable Passage in our Letany, From Hatred, Malice, and all Uncharitableness, good Lord deliver us.

## FINIS.

Here are some few Errata's escap'd Notice, as Page 46. and line 2. read Government, and line 6. for quarentes read querentes; and other such which the kind Reader is desired to correct.

figien the Pr mrs, Rights and d viding Princ , .. t as Anima ...... Sectionency tions of Da in in the ries religns and englar the right are morner er av infelt in ל וחד פייפתלם כ ..... ं रहा शाह देश and the second West Transmit tions little . admirel to P. No. LOTE WAR Charles Stateman











